

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/317,536	9/317,536 05/24/1999		BIN ZHAO	97RSS256-DIV	9245	
25700	7590	07/15/2003	•			
FARJAMI			EXAMINER '			
16148 SAND CANYON IRVINE, CA 92618				OWENS, DOUGLAS W		
			•	ART ŲNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2811		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* 7				ľ
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
		09/317,536	ZHAO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Douglas W Owens	2811	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a			SS
Period fo	r Reply			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provided by the Office later than three months after the made patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, i eply within the statutory minimum od will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this common the Manager (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>3 June 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3)□ Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	wance except for forma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	al matters, prosecution as to the n 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 16-36 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideratio	n.	
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-27 and 34-36</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requiremer	nt.	
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) 🗌 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)[[] ]	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).	age
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 er:	

Art Unit: 2811

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 3, 2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 16, 19, 20, 23, 26 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Published Patent Application, publication No. 2002/0089062 to Saran et al.

Regarding claims 16 and 34 Saran et al. teaches an interconnect (Fig. 2, for example) comprising:

one or more metal lines (15; paragraph [0031]) having gaps therebetween;

Art Unit: 2811

low-k material (14; paragraph [0031]) filling all of the gaps between the metal lines and having a height and vertical portions;

a protective layer (13b; paragraph [0030]) over and in direct contact with the metal lines and low-k material;

a dielectric layer (13a; paragraph [0030]) over the protective layer, wherein the dielectric layer has a different composition than the low-k material and the protective layer;

a via (17) in the dielectric layer;

a metal for filling the via (paragraph [0041]);

a second metal layer (11a; paragraph [0041]) over the dielectric layer; and an opening in the protective layer for allowing the metal via to contact the first metal line.

Regarding claim 19, Saran et al. teaches an interconnect, wherein the protective layer includes a dielectric material.

Regarding claims 20 and 23, Saran et al. teaches an interconnect, wherein the protective layer includes silicon nitride.

Regarding claim 26, Saran et al. teaches an interconnect, wherein the dielectric layer is made of silicon dioxide, the protective layer is silicon nitride, and the low-k material is an organic material.

4. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US patent No. 6,222,269 to Usami.

Regarding claim 35, Usami teaches an interconnect (Fig. 1) comprising:

Art Unit: 2811

one or more metal lines (3; Col. 11, lines 19 – 22) having gaps therebetween; low-k material (5; Col. 6, lines 5 – 9) filling the gaps between the metal lines and having a height and vertical portions;

a protective layer (6) formed directly over the metal lines and the low-k material, wherein the protective layer covers the low-k material, and the protective layer includes an oxide (Col. 6, lines 17 - 20);

a dielectric layer (7) formed over the protective layer, wherein the dielectric layer has a different composition than the low-k material the protective layer (Col. 7, lines 50 – 53);

a via in the dielectric layer;

a metal (9; Col. 6, lines 13 - 15) for filling the vias;

a second metal layer (10; Col. 7, lines 5-8 and 62-65; the upper interconnect is formed in a similar manner to the lower metal interconnect) over the dielectric layer; and

an opening in the protective layer for allowing the metal vias to contact the first metal lines.

Regarding claim 36, Usami teaches an interconnect, wherein the oxide includes silicon dioxide.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2811

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saran et al.

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Saran et al. does not teach an interconnect wherein the protective layer includes silicon oxide. Silicon oxide is a known material that is commonly used in the art to serve as dielectric protective layers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use silicon oxide, since it is a known material that is well-suited for the intended use. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Regarding claim 21, Saran et al. does not teach an interconnect, wherein the protective layer includes silicon carbide. Silicon carbide is a known material in the art, especially well suited for protective layers because of its hardness. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use silicon carbide, since it is a known material that is well suited for the intended use.

Regarding claim 22, Saran et al. does not teach an interconnect comprising a spacer on the vertical portion of the low-k material. It is common in the art to provide spacers in vias where metal fills are performed for various reasons, including preventing unwanted diffusion and protection of the dielectric material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a spacer since it is desirable to protect the dielectric material, as well as preventing unwanted diffusion of metal impurities.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Saran et al. teaches an interconnect, wherein the metal filling the via is tungsten. Saran et al. does not teach an interconnect, wherein first and second metal layer is an aluminum alloy, or wherein the metal in the via is an aluminum alloy. Aluminum alloys are known in the art and desirable for use in interconnect structures because of their low resistivity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use aluminum alloys, since they are known materials that are well suited for the intended use.

Regarding claim 27, Saran et al. teaches an interconnect, wherein the dielectric layer is silicon dioxide and the protective layer is silicon nitride. Saran et al. does not teach an interconnect, wherein the low-k material is a porous silicon dioxide. Porous silicon dioxide is known in the art and commonly used in applications where a low-k dielectric is desired. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use porous silicon dioxide, since it is a known material that is well suited for the intended use.

Regarding claims 35 and 36, Saran et al. teaches an interconnect (Fig. 2, for example) comprising:

one or more metal lines (15; paragraph [0031]) having gaps therebetween;
low-k material (14; paragraph [0031]) filling all of the gaps between the metal
lines and having a height and vertical portions;

a protective layer (13b; paragraph [0030]) over and in direct contact with the metal lines and low-k material;

Art Unit: 2811

a dielectric layer (13a; paragraph [0030]) over the protective layer, wherein the dielectric layer has a different composition than the low-k material and the protective layer;

a via (17) in the dielectric layer;

a metal for filling the via (paragraph [0041]);

a second metal layer (11a; paragraph [0041]) over the dielectric layer; and an opening in the protective layer for allowing the metal via to contact the first metal line.

Saran et al. does not teach an interconnect wherein the protective layer includes silicon oxide. Silicon oxide is a known material that is commonly used in the art to serve as dielectric protective layers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use silicon oxide, since it is a known material that is well-suited for the intended use.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 28 – 33 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16 – 27 and 34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 703-308-6167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TOM THOMAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

DWO June 27, 2003